

Reg. No. 6801 mm/d KL/TV(N)/12/2006-2008

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LII aneno 52

Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ 4th September 2007 2007 സെപ്റ്റാബർ 4 13th Bhadra 1929

1929 BOLGO 13

No.

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1991/2007/LBR.

Thirunananthapuran, 23rd Jun 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Annus Touriu Home Bay Attached Restaurant, Perumbayow and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by The Secretary, Perumbayow Ramps Madaya Vyvasaya Thushibali Union (CITU), K.S.R.T.G. Road, Perumbayose in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said (substrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in carrelse of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (a) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (General Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulara. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three (months).

Аммилини

"Whether the disminst of service of K.O. Automy by the management of Acusa. Tourist Home Bar Attached Restaurant is justifiable or not? If not, what relief he is entitled to?" 12

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1992/2007/LBR.

Thirteenanthaparam, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute crists between The Managing Director, Found Mattings India (Ltd.) Alappusha, Pin-683 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sci S. Sahasranama Tyyee, Villa No.33, Greenwoods, Padamagal, Indira Junction, Kakkanast West P.O., Kochi-30 in respect of matters mentioned in the american to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is no resery to refer the said industrial dispute for a ljudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section in (1) (d) of the Indorrial Disputes Act of 1947 (General Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Alappoints. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three exorths.

Assertance

"Whether the termination from acretice of Sci S, Saharranoma Iyyer, Quality Control Superintendent (Villa No. 33, Green Woods, Parlameghat, Indira Junction, Kakkanad West P.O., Kochi-30, Form Mattings (India) Lat., Alappurha is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?" G. O. (Rt.) No. 1993/2007/LBR.

Thirupananthapuram, 23rd 7ane 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, (Administration and Corporate Affairs). S.D.F. Industries Ltd., Pampady and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri G.H. Marihu, Champarambil House, Valiyapullars, Palluruthy, Kochi-6 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for

adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ASSERURS

"Whether the dismissal from service of Sri G.H. Madha from 1-3-2006 by the management of S.D.F. Industries 1.td-, is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(4)

G. O (Rt.) No. 1994/2007/LBR.

Thirunananthaparam, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, Hindustan Laura Lau, P.B. No. 2, Persorkada, Thiruvamanthapuram and the workman of the above referred earablish in him on a Smt. T. Radhamani, Kindappath la la House, Kadappathala Nagar, East of Golf Clab, Jasobar Nagar P.O., Thiruvamanthapuram in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for

adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three roombs.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the termination of employment of Smt. T. Radhamani, Chonal Worker by the Management of Hindown Lates Lid., Percorkada is justifiable or not ? It not, what reliefs he is entitled to?"

19

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1995/2007/LBR.

Thirumananthapurum, 23rd 7ana 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri K.Y. Varghese, Kottinad Agencies (Kottinad Mslayel) Kadampanad North P.O., Pathanauthitta and the workmrn of the above referred establishment Smt. J. Lathikanouseri, 'Karthika', Puthanauthalan P.O., Iverkalapady North, Kollom District in respect of maners mentioned in the anaxure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, is exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ASSTRUCTE

"Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Luthikakumari, J., Sales-cum-cashier by Employer Sri K. Y. Varghese, Kottinad Agencies, Kadampanad is justifiable? If not, what relief the is entitled to?"

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1996/2007/LBR.

Thirusanasthapuram, 23rd June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Kanthunsthy Amma. (Owner, Rekha Glay Produce), Pathery House, Kantamambathow, Chengalour P.O., Pothokkad. Thristor District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri E. Naudanau., President, Thristor Jilla Tile Vyavasaya Thoradiali Union (TUCII), Reg. No. ALC/DESK-17/10004, Thekkanyii Plaza, Shorasor Road, Thristor-lin respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal Palakkad The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Assessmen

 Whether the denial of employment to 10 workers, namely (1) T. V. Sukumaran,
 P. K. Valada, (3) M. O. Roslly,
 M. N. Mallika, (5) G. A. Komalem,
 P. K. Tromkuman, (7) K. Prabbakaran,
 P. K. Tromkuman, (7) K. Prabbakaran,
 Nair, (6) K.R. Sumathi, (6) P. A. Puzhpa and (10) A.M. Nirmala and subsequent classic down of Rekha Clay Products,
 Kaonamia dour, Chengalur P. Q., Thromr District by the Proprietron are justifiable?
 If not, what relief they are catified to get?"

Inne No. 2. What is the quantum of homes carticled to workers came by

(i) T. V. Sukumaran, (2) P. K. Velinla,

(5) M. O. Rosily, (4) M. N. Mallika,

(5) CLA Komarlam, (6) P. K. Thenkamari,

(7) K. Problakaran Norr, (8) K.R. Somathi,

(9) P.A. Puzhpa and (10) A. M. Nirmala for the year 2004-05 from the Management of Rekha Clay Products. Kannambathur,

P.O., Chengalar, Thrister District.

By order of the Governor, Stay Expgs, Under Secretary to Government.